IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Thomas N. Taylor GROUP: 2625

APPLICATION: 10/658,956 EXAMINER: D. Popovici

FILED: September 10, 2003 CONFIRMATION: 3513

FOR: AUTOMATED PAGE TURNING APPARATUS TO ASSIST IN VIEWING PAGES

OF A DOCUMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The Information Disclosure Statement submitted herewith is being filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c) before the mailing date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under §1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and it is accompanied by the statement specified in paragraph (e) of this section;

Under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e): each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

With respect to any item cited herewith directed to the prosecution history or Office Action of a co-pending US Patent Application, this information is being cited as required by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. See Dayco Products. Inc. v. Total Containment. Inc., 66 U.S.P.Q.2d 1801 (Fed. Cir. 2003) and McKesson Information Solutions, Inc. v. Bridge Medical. Inc., 487 F.3d 897 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

With respect to any item cited herewith directed to the prosecution history or Office Action of a foreign counterpart of the above-identified application or foreign counterpart of a US Patent Application related to the above-identified application, this information is being cited to meet the duties of disclosure set forth by 37 C.F.R. 1.56.

The Examiner is respectfully reminded that the placement of the Examiner's initials or a mark representing the Examiner's initialization of the citation adjacent to the citations listed in the attached Information Disclosure Statement is an affirmative indication that the Examiner has performed the Examiner's duties and has considered each initialed document in the same manner as other documents in the Office's search files are considered by the Examiner while conducting a search of the prior art in a proper field of search. See MPEP §609.05(b).

Moreover, those citations which have not been considered by the Examiner will have a line drawn through the entire citation and any citations which have been considered by the

PATENT APPLICATION 10/658,956 ATTORNEY DOCKET 1056 002 301 0202

Examiner will have the Examiner's initials or a mark representing the Examiner's initialization of the citation adjacent thereto.

Lastly, the Examiner is reminded that Section 609.08 of the <u>MPEP</u> sets forth the proper process for electronically noting that a reference has been considered by the Examiner.

More specifically, Section 609.08 of the MPEP states:

ELECTRONIC ANNOTATION AND SIGNATURE

The electronic annotation, similar to hand written annotations, will cause the initials of the reviewing examiner to be applied to either: (A) the immediate left of each citation reviewed; or (B) the immediate left of the first of several consecutive citations and the left of the last of the consecutive citations reviewed with a line connecting the initials. Citations that have not been considered will be lined through.

The electronic signature will be in the form /John Q. Examiner/ at the bottom of the last sheet of citations of an IDS. The examiner may elect to electronically sign each sheet of citations considered.

As of October 1, 2007, examiners may use an alternative electronic signature method for IDS. Under the alternative electronic signature, examiners will no longer initial each reference citation considered, but will continue to strikethrough each citation not considered. Each page of reference citations will be stamped by the examiner with the phrase "All references considered except where lined through" along with the examiner's electronic initials, and the final page of reference citations will include the examiner's electronic signature.

Thus, the Examiner is respectfully requested to process the concurrently filed Information Disclosure Statement in strict accordance with the guidelines and process established by the US Patent Office.

For fee deficiency resulting from the filing of the papers submitted herein, charge Basch & Nickerson LLP Deposit Account 50-2737.

Respectfully submitted.

Duane C. Basch, Esq. Reg. No. 34,545/ Duane C. Basch Registration No. 34,545 Basch & Nickerson LLP 1777 Penfield Road Penfield, NY 14526 585-899-3970 Customer No. 37211